

Watermark Assessment Request Form

Protocol: The Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) *Evaluation Framework for Education Preparation Provider (EPP) Created Assessments* serves as the foundation for this request form and protocol. Please complete this form for EACH assessment (including subject and pedagogical content tests, observations, projects, assignments, and rubrics) to be submitted in Watermark. This would be applicable to a New assessment or Revised assessment. The New or Revised assessment should be submitted at least 30 days prior to the Fall semester in which it is to be implemented. (unless the course is only offered once a year in Spring or Summer which will need to be requested at least one cycle/semester before implementation).

Prior to completing the Watermark Assessment Request form, please ensure the cover page of your rubric includes the following: 1) Course Title, 2) Course Number, 3) Standards, 4) Purpose, 5) Administration, and 6) Success Indicator.

Additionally, on the footer of your assessment/rubric, list the semester and year of the revision and addition. Please make sure to include the directions/instructions of the assessment with the rubric or grading instrument along with this completed Watermark Rubric Form.

The faculty member who is requesting the New or Revised assessment in Watermark may reach out to their Departmental Contact (see below) or the Database Administrator, Ms. Jenny Hartness for assistance in completion of this form. There is also an approval required from the Program Coordinators from all campuses prior to the submission and supporting documentation necessitating the addition or revision of the assessment.

Watermark Contacts are as follows:

- Elementary, Secondary, and Special Education programs-Ms. Cindy Stevenson
- School Administration programs-Ms. Cindy Stevenson
- Meridian Alternate Route programs-Mr. Matt Blackwell
- Music & Physical Education programs-Ms. Jenny Hartness
- Undergraduate Teaching Internship-Ms. Jennifer Neilson
- Distance Alternate Route programs-Ms. Stephanie Etheridge
- All Counseling, Educational Psychology, and Foundations-Ms. Amy Stockton

TIPS for Writing Appropriate Scoring Level in your Rubric

Consider the following:

- Define the levels in performance terms
- Determine what constitutes moving down a level or up a level
- BOLD critical aspects of performance

BEWARE of the following:

- Words ending in LY (consistently, frequently, etc.)
- Wiggle words (sometimes, often, never, always)
- Frequency counts (fewer than 2,3, 4 or more)
- Using the language of the standard at each performance level

Example of Rubric Cover Page

Title of the Assessment: TEACHER INTERN ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (TIAI)

Semester Added or Revised: Fall 2018

Course Title:

Course Number:

Standards: (List the accreditation name/standard date and actual ones being tagged on the rubric/assessment)

<u>Purpose:</u> To provide a comprehensive assessment (both formative and summative) of the teaching practice of teacher candidates.

<u>Administration:</u> This instrument is administered by classroom mentor teachers and university supervisors, formative and summative, during each field experience placement in ______ (list the course title and number).

<u>Success Indicator:</u> Items rated at the "Meets Standard" level represent successful teaching practice by the teacher candidate. Anything below "Meets Standard" can be seen as an area in need of improvement.

List/Tag the Standards under each Indicator)



Ī	Indicators	Unacceptable	Needs Improvement	Meets Standard	Exceeds Standard
		(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)
	1. Develops measurable and	Objectives are not	Objectives are aligned	Objectives are	In addition to meets standard,
ı١	observable grade and subject	measurable,	with appropriate state	measurable,	objectives are stated at different
Ш	level objectives that are	observable, or aligned	curricula frameworks,	observable, and aligned	instructional levels based on
Ш	aligned with appropriate state	with appropriate state	but they are not	with appropriate state	individual needs of students
١١	curricula frameworks.	curricula frameworks.	measurable or	curricula frameworks.	(DOK Levels and/or Bloom's
			observable.		Taxonomy).
	(CAEP R1.2, InTASC 7, TGR 1)				
	(CAEP R1.2, InTASC 7, TGR 1)				

Please complete the fields within the Watermark Request Form below:

Submission Date:			
Department:			
Program and degree level:			
Concentration Area:			
Course Title and Number:			
Please check if a New Assessment OR Replace Existing Assessment		ce Existing Assessment ent, please list the name of the old assessment below:	
Title of the New or Revised Assessment			
Type of Assessment of the New or Revised Assessment	☐ Rubric ☐ Test ☐ Project ☐ Other	et Assignment Observation (please explain)	
Evaluation Method, please check the appropriate method:	☐ Rubric (refer to Section 1	III: Scoring) es Not Meet Requirement (This is not used often.)	
Faculty member completing this fo			
Please list the names of faculty men development of the assessment or			
Nature of Change and what precipi	tated the Change:		

Provide supporting documentation (Please include a copy of the syllabus and attach directions of the assignment.): Program Coordinator(s) Approval for all Campuses along with Date of Approval Watermark Department Contact Acknowledgement /Review of the request as well as Database Administrator Review (Including Date of Review and notes). For your reference, the Watermark Department Contacts are as follows:	
 Elementary, Secondary, and Special Education programs-Ms. Cindy Stevenson School Administration programs-Ms. Cindy Stevenson Meridian Alternate Route programs-Mr. Matt Blackwell Music & Physical Education programs-Ms. Jenny Hartness Undergraduate Teaching Internship-Ms. Jennifer Neilson Distance Alternate Route programs-Ms. Stephanie Etheridge All Counseling, Educational Psychology, and Foundations-Ms. Amy Stockton 	
Database Administrator Signature/Date of Review:	
Fall Semester Requested for Change (unless the course is only offered once a year in Spring or Summer which will need to be requested at least one cycle before implementation):	

I. ADMINISTRATION AND PURPOSE

l .	Explain the purpose of this assessment (e.g. what aspects of the College of Education (COE) students' performance are you measuring) and how is this assessment used to make decisions about the COE students' progress through the program?			
≥.	Is the overall purpose and administration listed on the assessment and directions? Yes No No			
}.	At what point or points in the program of study is this assessment administered? (e.g. first year, last year, entry course, exit course, etc.)?			
ļ.	Are instructions provided to COE students to complete the assessment informative and unambiguous?			
5 •	Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the judgment (criterion for success, or what is "good enough") made explicit for COE students and identified as the Success Indicator on the assessment and directions? Yes ☐ No ☐			

6.	Are the evaluation categories/indicators on the assessment tagged with CAEP, InTASC, national/professional (SPA) and state standards? (Note: Be careful not to double dip standards to one criterion (e.g. InTASC 3 &4) Yes No		
	List the standards and version (year) tagged below: (Ex. CAEP, CACREP, NCTM, NCTE, etc.) For CACREP, if Key Performance Indicator (KPI) is tagged, please ensure to note it with the appropriate abbreviation and number: CORE KPI _, CMH KPI _, Rehab KPI _, SC KPI _, and Doctoral KPI _		
-	O is selected for any of the above responses for Section I, please revise the assessment to meet this requirement before submitted.)		
(The v	ONTENT OF ASSESSMENT word "Indicator" (aka Criterion) is used as a generic term for the assessment items. For content tests, the term refers to a question. For ets or assignments, it refers to a prompt or task that the COE student is to perform. For an observation, an indicator might be a category of rmance to observe or a specific aspect of COE student's performance that a reviewer would record.		
1.	The indicators on the assessment explicitly identified aspects of the CAEP, InTASC, national/professional and state standards? Yes \square No \square		
2.	The indicators are congruent with the complexity, cognitive demands and skill requirements described in the standards (e.g. create, evaluate, analyze, & apply). For example, when a standard requires the COE students to "demonstrate" problem solving, then the indicator is specific to the COE students' application of knowledge to solve problems. Yes No No		
3.	The level of COE student's effort required, or the difficulty or degree of challenge of the assessment is consistent with the standards and is reasonable for the COE students who are making appropriate progress toward being ready to teach or take on the other professional responsibilities. Yes \square No \square		

	I. <u>SCORING for RUBRIC</u> How many levels are represented on the scale of the assessment, not including "no data" or "unobserved" catego
	(Please note: It is highly encouraged that "no data" or "unobserved categories" not be used and there must be at least three well-developed levels. It
	recommended specifically 4 levels)
	(Check the number below):
	1 (If 1 is selected, please revised the assessment to meet this requirement)
	2 (If 2 is selected, please revised the assessment to meet this requirement)
	\sqcup 4
	Other:
2.	Is each of the performance level descriptors qualitatively defined by specific criteria aligned with indicators of the
	assessment? Yes No
	List the performance level descriptors below that correlates with the scale

3.	The performance levels represent developmental sequence from level to level (to provide raters with explicit guidelines for evaluating COE student performance and for providing COE students' with explicit feedback on their performance). Yes \square No \square
4.	Performance level attributes are defined in actionable, performance-based, or observable terms. (e.g. Bloom's, etc.) Yes \square No \square
5.	The COE student's final score on the assessment is clearly explained and is fair and reasonable and the same across all campuses. Yes \square No \square
	List the total points of the assessment below:
	List the total points that are listed on the syllabus for this assessment below:
6.	Feedback provided to COE students is actionable for it is directly related to the preparation of the program and can be used for program improvement as well as feedback to the COE student. Yes No No
-	NO is selected for any of the above responses in Section III, please revise the assessment to meet this requirement fore it is submitted.)